# Massive escalation by NATO

With a deadly poisonous cocktail of self-righteous <u>arrogance</u>, radical <u>ignorance</u>, complete denial of reality and gigantic <u>megalomania</u>, <u>NATO</u> is war-drunk, openly and intentionally heading into a direct confrontation with Russia in full view of everyone. Not only does it want to continue the war on its behalf, it wants to directly confront its <u>own soldiers in Ukraine</u> vis-à-vis Russian soldiers and attack Russia with its o<u>wn nuclear-capable weapons</u>.

The indirect confrontation through the proxy war is probably still not enough, as the Third World War is literally being forced. Because a direct confrontation can ultimately mean nothing other than a <u>nuclear war</u>. This means that the fanatical, alleged "defenders of morality" - at the forefront of which is the German government - are seriously assuming that they will <u>win a nuclear war</u>.

"We have the stupidest government in Europe," because it says itself that it wants to take on the leadership role here. "Our masters of war are driving us into a nuclear abyss."

## Cardinal virtues or cardinal flaws?

# The "do-gooder doctrine"

We are the good guys. At least that's what we're told on a constant loop by the media service staff and the chefs themselves. "Bon" appetit! The desired change of mentality to a war society requires the conviction that you are one of the good guys. Because that's how everything can be justified - they think. They call this "values-driven." Be careful - this can get stuck in your throat incredibly quickly!

The infantile-authoritarian strategy couldn't be simpler: the "do-gooder doctrine." And the good ones only do good things, that's why they are the good ones. Not only does this make it unnecessary to critically question their actions and statements, but as a critic you are already one of the bad guys. No matter how reasonable the arguments are, they are irrelevant and the content is of no interest. Because "eat or die" leaves no room for maneuver, there is a course whose narrow-gauge track has concrete walls on both sides. At regular intervals we go to the pits for indoctrination pressure refueling (guuut, guut, good, gt, g,...) and for better compatibility and support there is the bitter pill afterward (Putin was evil again, so we have to do something good again, e.g. fuel the war with weapons and ammunition and hope for "hits" from Russian nuclear missile early warning systems)...

# Communication strategy: assert morality and practice amorality

It can be so easy if you're on the good side, because you don't have to question anything anymore. You just need the right terms for the superficial hoopla, because it doesn't matter what's inside. Hui on the outside and yuck on the inside.

Now take a closer look: What does all of this actually have to do with value orientation? "Good" is not a value - what is good would first have to be determined in more detail using principles. The "do-gooder doctrine" would have to be filled with content if value orientation were to be taken seriously. What could be more obvious than the 4 cardinal virtues of prudence, to cite justice, courage and moderation as the highest values of moral action? "Drunk with justice, extremely brave and oh so clever (we think) we throw

our weapons into the Ukrainian battle," said Thomas Fasbender aptly.

According to the philosopher Thomas Pieper, prudence comes first; it is the measure of justice, bravery and moderation. Acting wisely means consideration, self-control, reason and, above all, prudence - in short: morality.

#### That means:

- 1. To repeat: "We have the stupidest government in Europe."
- 2. Although our government and NATO claim to act in a values-based/moral manner, they practice the exact opposite. Nietzsche and de Sade would probably be very happy.

Quod erat demonstrandum.

## "I was right."

We are now at the point we warned about over 2 years ago because it was foreseeable if they didn't change course. There is no strategy except one: "We" (!) have to win, come what may and whatever it takes, because "we" are in the right." Only:

"It doesn't help anyone if the tombstone says afterwards: I was right." (retired colonel Wolfgang Richter)

Matteo Salvini commented on calls for attacks on Russia as follows:

"Stop Stoltenberg and Macron! Macron is a danger to himself and others because he talks about war. This morning I also learned that NATO Secretary General Stoltenberg emphasized several times in Sofia that Italian weapons and European weapons should kill in Russia. This gentleman is dangerous. He is dangerous because he talks about the Third World War. I think it is very, very dangerous and reckless to talk about Western and European, Italian weapons striking and killing in the heart of Russia. So let those who can stop him!"

#### Sergey Lavrov reacted as follows:

"Therefore, we cannot help but consider the delivery of these systems to the Kiev regime as a deliberate signal action by NATO in the nuclear field. They are trying to make it clear to us that the USA and NATO are ready for literally anything in Ukraine."

"The Kremlin has accused NATO Secretary General Jens Stoltenberg of falling into "warlike ecstasy" during the discussion about lifting some restrictions on the use of Western weapons against targets in Russia."

It is an <u>open secret</u> at NATO that British and French soldiers are already helping to operate and target cruise missiles. But now it should be about much more: open participation and thus direct confrontation with Russia and an expansion of the war into Russian territory. Being in the right, i.e. on the "good" side, is enough - despite all odds, such as common sense, humanity, history, countless more deaths up to and including the Third World War.

## Sapere aude!

"It is possible to think of nothing anywhere in the world - indeed, outside of it - that could be considered good without reservation, other than a good will." (I. Kant)

<u>"Kant asks</u> whether there is hope for more peacefulness, even if people's moral motivations never increased at all. Even malicious "devils, if they only have sense," would

have to devote themselves more and more to peace, out of an intelligent self-love, in order to avoid their own destruction.

The Cold War until 1989 was a peace between states - not out of goodwill but out of the will to survive. A detour to peace, but a realistic one. In his Peace Treaty, Kant sets out the conditions for peace if it is to be more than just a breather between wars:

A peace agreement should not already contain the reasons for a war, there should be no standing armies because they threatened neighboring states with war.

no state should interfere in the constitution and government of another, in a war there should be no such hostilities as to make peace impossible."

## Stop them!

Protest! Protect the earth and <u>protect</u> our children! Refuse military service!\* - Workers in the armaments industry: go on strike! - Workers in logistics: on strike! - Soldiers everywhere: Strike!

Because if you refuse to pull the trigger, there will be no world war. It is no longer "defense" and therefore no longer covered by international law if one "willfully and knowingly crosses a line" that undermines the peace requirement of the UN Charter and paves the way to World War III.

### \*FYI:

The Bundeswehr is currently sending out so-called "V.I.P. invitation postcards" to young people with disgustingly suggestive rhetoric ("Talent Scout", "exclusive", "exciting", "Backstage", "desired"), as if it were an adventure camp that you definitely shouldn't miss. One of the many angry reactions to this is this one from Jens Hildebrandt, <u>DsW</u>:

"What does "backstage" mean here anyway? Is the driving around with the tanks and the showing off of big cannon barrels all just theater and the Bundeswehr actually an operetta army? That would explain the high consumption of money and at the same time low "output".

One could say, "Let them go, they just want to play," if there weren't politicians who took the military show seriously and wanted to send this army to war."

## Danger:

According to Section 58 c § 1 of the Soldiers Act, the registration authorities of the municipalities are obliged to transmit data on 16-17 year olds with German citizenship who will come of age next year to the Federal Office for Personnel Management of the Bundeswehr by March 31st each year. The data must be deleted if those affected request this. Data will not be transferred to the Federal Office for Personnel Management of the Bundeswehr if the person concerned has objected to this in accordance with Section 36 Paragraph 2 of the Federal Registration Act (BMG) with the responsible municipality. Disagree!

Tanja Stopper, June 2nd, 2024 - Original from: friedenunddiplomatie.de

and also: <u>pressenza.com</u>